

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****JUL 20 2005****Fax**

To: Djenane Bayard Group Art Unit 2141	From: Benjamin Fishman Sanford T. Colb & Co.
Fax: 703-872-9306	Pages: 3
Phone:	Date: 7/20/2005
Re: USSN 09/783,412 Our ref. 38289	CC:
<input type="checkbox"/> Urgent <input type="checkbox"/> For Review <input type="checkbox"/> Please Comment <input type="checkbox"/> Please Reply <input type="checkbox"/> Please Recycle	

Dear Ms. Bayard:

You have scheduled an interview for today, July 20, at 1:00 with Sanford Colb of our office regarding the above-referenced application. As per your request, attached please find a proposed agenda for the interview, including proposed amendments to the claims and the specification.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Fishman
Registration No. 57,030

*****IMPORTANT*****
This facsimile transmission is Attorney-Client privileged and contains confidential information only for the person(s) named above. Any other distribution, copying or disclosure is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile transmission in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original transmission to us without making a copy.
Sanford T. Colb & Co.
Tel.: (972-8) 945-6122
Fax: (972-8) 940-1040 / 945-4558

IL9-2000-0057; 39269

In Application of : Danny SOROKER et al.
Appl. No. : 09/783,412 : Group Art Unit: 2141
Filed : February 14, 2001 : Examiner: D. Bayard
Confirmation No. : 4685 :
For : SELECTIVE DISSEMINATION OF ELECTRONIC MAIL
ATTACHMENTS

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUL 20 2005

AGENDA FOR INTERVIEW OF JULY 20, 2005

I. Rejection of claims 21, 22, and 23 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e)

- In order to further distinguish claims 21, 22, and 23 from Watanabe, the Applicant is prepared to amend these claims to recite the additional limitation that the sender indicates whether to save the attachment in a sent items folder. By way of example, the Applicant proposes to amend claim 21 as follows:

21. A method for processing an electronic mail (e-mail) message having an attachment, comprising:

receiving as input from a sender the e-mail message and the attachment for transmission to a recipient;

receiving as input from the sender an instruction indicative of whether to save the attachment in a sent items folder;

sending the e-mail message and the attachment to the recipient;

and

responsive to the instruction indicating not to save the attachment, saving in the sent items folder the e-mail message without the attachment.

- The phrase "in a sent items folder" does not appear in the Applicant's specification as filed, but it is inherent in the Applicant's description of saving sent e-mails "as is the default setting of most e-mail programs." At the time the application was filed, standard e-mail programs saved sent e-mails in a sent items folder. By way of example, the Applicant proposes to amend paragraph [0015] of the specification as follows:

[0015] Alternatively or additionally, preferred embodiments of the present invention enable the user to conserve system resources by

IL9-2000-0057; 39269

designating, at the time of writing or sending an e-mail message, whether a file attached thereto should be saved on the user's computer in the sent items folder (as is the default setting of most e-mail programs), or whether only the e-mail message should be saved in the sent items folder. Preferably, if the user chooses not to save the attached file with the e-mail message, then the name of the attached file and/or other identifying information is saved with the message. It will be appreciated that these embodiments can operate in conjunction with or separately from the embodiments of the present invention in which the user designates which recipients should receive a given attachment.

II. Rejection of claim 24 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph

- The Applicant suggests that claim 24 has nearly verbatim support in the specification as filed. See, for example, page 4, third paragraph (paragraph [0015] of the published application), and page 15, lines 17-29 (paragraph [0072]).

III. Rejections of claims 27-28, 32-33, and 38-39 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

- Watanabe and Redpath were commonly owned by the assignee of the present application at the time the invention of the present application was made, and thus, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 103(c), are not available under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as potential prior art against the present application.